

Approximating Pseudo-Boolean Functions on Non-Uniform Domains*

R. F. Lax¹, Guoli Ding¹, Peter P. Chen², and J. Chen²

¹ Department of Mathematics, LSU, Baton Rouge, LA 70803

² Department of Computer Science, 298 Coates Hall, LSU, Baton Rouge, LA 70803
lax@math.lsu.edu, ding@math.lsu.edu, chen@bit.csc.lsu.edu, jianhua@bit.csc.lsu.edu,

Abstract

In Machine Learning (ML) and Evolutionary Computation (EC), it is often beneficial to approximate a complicated function by a simpler one, such as a linear or quadratic function, for computational efficiency or feasibility reasons (cf. [Jin, 2005]). A complicated function (the target function in ML or the fitness function in EC) may require an exponential amount of computation to learn/evaluate, and thus approximations by simpler functions are needed. We consider the problem of approximating pseudo-Boolean functions by simpler (e.g., linear) functions when the instance space is associated with a probability distribution. We consider $\{0, 1\}^n$ as a sample space with a (possibly non-uniform) probability measure on it, thus making pseudo-Boolean functions into random variables. This is also in the spirit of the PAC learning framework of Valiant [Valiant, 1984] where the instance space has a probability distribution on it. The best approximation to a target function f is then defined as the function g (from all possible approximating functions of the simpler form) that minimizes the expected distance to f . In an example, we use methods from linear algebra to find, in this more general setting, the best approximation to a given pseudo-Boolean function by a linear function.

1 Introduction

A pseudo-Boolean function of n variables is a function from $\{0, 1\}^n$ to the real numbers. Such functions are used in 0-1 optimization problems, cooperative game theory, multicriteria decision making, and as fitness functions. It is not hard to see that such a function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ has a unique expression as a multilinear polynomial

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{T \subseteq N} \left[a_T \prod_{i \in T} x_i \right],$$

*Research partially supported by NSF grant ITR-0326387 and AFOSR grants F49620-03-1-0238, F49620-03-1-0239, and F49620-03-1-0241

where $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and the a_T are real numbers. By the *degree* of a pseudo-Boolean function, we mean the degree of its multilinear polynomial representation.

Several authors have considered the problem of finding the best pseudo-Boolean function of degree $\leq k$ approximating a given pseudo-Boolean function f , where “best” means a least squares criterion. Hammer and Holzman [Hammer and Holzman, 1992] derived a system of equations for finding such a best degree $\leq k$ approximation, and gave explicit solutions when $k = 1$ and $k = 2$. They proved that such an approximation is characterized as the unique function of degree $\leq k$ that agrees with f in all average m -th order derivatives for $m = 0, 1, \dots, k$, in analogy with the Taylor polynomials from calculus. Grabisch, Marichal, and Roubens [Grabisch *et al.*, 2000] solve the system of equations derived by Hammer and Holzman, and give explicit formulas for the coefficients of the best degree $\leq k$ function. Zhang and Rowe [Zhang and Rowe, 2004] use linear algebra to find the best approximation that lies in a linear subspace of the space of pseudo-Boolean functions; for example, these methods can be used to find the best approximation of degree $\leq k$.

Here, instead of simply viewing the domain of a pseudo-Boolean function as the set $\{0, 1\}^n$, we consider $\{0, 1\}^n$ as a discrete sample space and introduce a probability measure on this space. Thus, a pseudo-Boolean function will be a random variable on this sample space. (Viewing $\{0, 1\}$ simply as a set corresponds to viewing all of its points as equally likely outcomes.) Given a pseudo-Boolean random variable f , we then use methods from linear algebra to find the best approximation to f that lies in a linear subspace, taking into account the weighting of the elements of $\{0, 1\}^n$. Such a best approximation will then be close to f at the “most likely” n -tuples, and may not be so close to f at the “least likely” n -tuples.

2 Best Approximation on a Non-Uniform Domain

We will identify the integers $0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$ with the elements in B^n via binary representation. Let $p(i), i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$, be a probability measure on B^n . Let \mathcal{F} denote the space of all pseudo-Boolean functions in n variables. Then \mathcal{F} has the structure of a real vector space. Define

an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$ on \mathcal{F} by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_p = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} f(i)g(i)p(i).$$

We note that $\langle f, g \rangle_p$ is the expected value of the random variable fg . Put $\|f\|_p = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle_p}$.

Now let \mathcal{L} be a vector subspace of \mathcal{F} of dimension m . For example, \mathcal{L} might be the space of all pseudo-Boolean functions of degree at most k , for some fixed k . We recall how to use an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{L} to find the best approximation to a given element of \mathcal{F} (cf. [Hoffman and Kunze, 1971]).

Let v_1, \dots, v_m be a basis for \mathcal{L} . We can find an orthonormal basis u_1, \dots, u_m for \mathcal{L} by applying the Gram-Schmidt algorithm. This orthonormal basis satisfies the property $\langle u_r, u_s \rangle_p = \delta_{rs}$ for $r, s = 1, \dots, m$, where δ_{rs} equals 0 if $r \neq s$ and equals 1 if $r = s$. The orthonormal basis can be obtained as follows: Take $u_1 = (1/\|v_1\|_p)v_1$. If u_1, \dots, u_{r-1} have been obtained, then put $w_r = v_r - \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \langle v_r, u_j \rangle_p u_j$, and take $u_r = (1/\|w_r\|_p)w_r$.

Given $f \in \mathcal{F}$, the “best approximation” to f by functions in \mathcal{L} is that function $g \in \mathcal{L}$ that minimizes

$$\|f - g\|_p = \sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} (f(i) - g(i))^2 p(i)}.$$

Notice that if we take the uniform distribution on B^n , so that $p(i) = (1/2)^n$ for all i , then the best approximation to f in \mathcal{L} is the function $g \in \mathcal{L}$ that also minimizes $\sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} (f(i) - g(i))^2$. This is the usual “least squares” condition used in [Hammer and Holzman, 1992], [Grabisch *et al.*, 2000], [Zhang and Rowe, 2004], and in this case one may simply use the usual Euclidean inner product in \mathbb{R}^{2^n} . In our more general setting, it follows from section 8.2 of [Hoffman and Kunze, 1971] that the best approximation to f by functions in \mathcal{L} is the unique function $g = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle f, u_j \rangle_p u_j$.

3 Example

To illustrate these ideas, we look at an example considered by [Zhang and Rowe, 2004]. Take $n = 3$ and $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 5x_1 + 13x_3 + 9x_1x_2 - 4x_1x_3 - 4x_2x_3 + 4x_1x_2x_3$. We wish to approximate f by the best linear function, so we let \mathcal{L} be the space spanned by the functions $v_1 = 1, v_2 = x_1, v_3 = x_2, v_4 = x_3$. If we take the uniform distribution on B^3 , so that $p(i) = 1/8$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 7$, then by applying the Gram-Schmidt algorithm we get the following orthonormal basis for \mathcal{L} with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$:

$$u_1 = 1, u_2 = 2x_1 - 1, u_3 = 2x_2 - 1, u_4 = 2x_3 - 1.$$

(More generally, one can show that, for any n , an orthonormal basis for the space of pseudo-Boolean functions of degree at most 1 with respect to the uniform distribution is $1, 2x_1 - 1, \dots, 2x_n - 1$.) Then the best linear approximation to f is $g(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{j=1}^4 \langle f, u_j \rangle_p u_j =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{39}{4} \cdot 1 + \frac{17}{4}(2x_1 - 1) + \frac{7}{4}(2x_2 - 1) + 5(2x_3 - 1) \\ &= -\frac{5}{4} + \frac{17}{2}x_1 + \frac{7}{2}x_2 + 10x_3, \end{aligned}$$

in agreement with Example 4.1 of [Zhang and Rowe, 2004]. Here, $\|f - g\|_p \approx 2.88$.

Now we take a different probability measure on B^3 . Supposing that a “1” is twice as likely as a “0” we define a probability measure \tilde{p} on B^3 by $\tilde{p}(0) = 1/27, \tilde{p}(1) = 2/27, \tilde{p}(2) = 2/27, \tilde{p}(3) = 4/27, \tilde{p}(4) = 2/27, \tilde{p}(5) = 4/27, \tilde{p}(6) = 4/27, \tilde{p}(7) = 8/27$. An orthonormal basis for \mathcal{L} with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\tilde{p}}$ is then

$$\tilde{u}_1 = 1, \tilde{u}_2 = \frac{3x_1 - 2}{\sqrt{2}}, \tilde{u}_3 = \frac{3x_2 - 2}{\sqrt{2}}, \tilde{u}_4 = \frac{3x_3 - 2}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Then the best linear approximation to f is now $\tilde{g}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{j=1}^4 \langle f, \tilde{u}_j \rangle_{\tilde{p}} \tilde{u}_j =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{368}{27} \cdot 1 + \frac{91\sqrt{2}}{27}\tilde{u}_2 + \frac{46\sqrt{2}}{27}\tilde{u}_3 + \frac{85\sqrt{2}}{27}\tilde{u}_4 \\ &= (1/27)(-76 + 273x_1 + 138x_2 + 255x_3). \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\|f - \tilde{g}\|_{\tilde{p}} \approx 2.55$. For comparison, the distance now between the linear function g we found above and the function f is $\|f - g\|_p \approx 2.79$.

4 Conclusion

Instead of considering $B^n = \{0, 1\}^n$ simply as a set, we allow it to be viewed as a sample space with a probability measure p . Then pseudo-Boolean functions are random variables on this sample space. Given a complicated pseudo-Boolean function, it is natural to want to approximate it by a simpler function, for example a linear or quadratic function. As an example, we found the best linear approximation to a given pseudo-Boolean function in three variables with respect to two different probability measures on B^3 . Further research is needed to find an effective method of computing the best approximation on a non-uniform domain when the number of variables is large.

References

- [Grabisch *et al.*, 2000] Michel Grabisch, Jean-Luc Marichal, and Marc Roubens. Equivalent representations of set functions. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 25(2):157–178, May 2000.
- [Hammer and Holzman, 1992] P. L. Hammer and R. Holzman. Approximations of pseudo-boolean functions; applications to game theory. *ZOR—Methods and Models of Operations Research*, 36:3–21, 1992.
- [Hoffman and Kunze, 1971] Kenneth Hoffman and Ray Kunze. *Linear Algebra, 2nd edition*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1971.
- [Jin, 2005] Yaochu Jin. A comprehensive survey of fitness approximation in evolutionary computation. *Soft Computing*, 9(1):3–12, 2005.
- [Valiant, 1984] L. G. Valiant. A theory of the learnable. *Communications of the ACM*, 27(11):1134–1142, 1984.
- [Zhang and Rowe, 2004] Hang Zhang and Jonathan E. Rowe. Best approximations of fitness functions of binary strings. *Natural Computing*, 3(1):113–124, 2004.