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Abstract

User and item features of side information are crucial for accurate recommendation. However, the large number of feature dimensions, e.g., usually larger than $10^7$, results in expensive storage and computational cost. This prohibits fast recommendation especially on mobile applications where the computational resource is very limited. In this paper, we develop a generic feature-based recommendation model, called Discrete Factorization Machine (DFM), for fast and accurate recommendation. DFM binarizes the real-valued model parameters (e.g., float32) of every feature embedding into binary codes (e.g., boolean), and thus supports efficient storage and fast user-item score computation. To avoid the severe quantization loss of the binarization, we propose a convergent updating rule that resolves the challenging discrete optimization of DFM. Through extensive experiments on two real-world datasets, we show that 1) DFM consistently outperforms state-of-the-art binarized recommendation models, and 2) DFM shows very competitive performance compared to its real-valued version (FM), demonstrating the minimized quantization loss.

1 Introduction

Recommendation is ubiquitous in today’s cyber-world — almost every one of your Web activities can be viewed as a recommendation, such as news or music feeds, car or restaurant booking, and online shopping. Therefore, accurate recommender system is not only essential for the quality of service, but also the profit of the service provider. One such system should exploit the rich side information beyond user-item interactions, such as content-based (e.g., user attributes [Wang et al., 2017] and product image features [Yu et al., 2018]), context-based (e.g., where and when a purchase is made [Rendle et al., 2011; He and Chua, 2017]), and session-based (e.g., the recent browsing history of users [Li et al., 2017; Bayer et al., 2017]). However, existing collaborative filtering (CF) based systems merely rely on user and item features (e.g., matrix factorization based [He et al., 2016] and the recently proposed neural collaborative filtering methods [He et al., 2017; Bai et al., 2017]), which are far from sufficient to capture the complex decision psychology of the setting and the mood of a user behavior [Chen et al., 2017].

Factorization Machine (FM) [Rendle, 2011] is one of the prevalent feature-based recommendation model that leverages rich features of users and items for accurate recommendation. FM can incorporate any side features by concatenating them into a high-dimensional and sparse feature vector. The key advantage of it is to learn $k$-dimensional latent vectors, i.e., the embedding parameters $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$, for all the $n$ feature dimensions. They are then used to model pairwise interactions between features in the embedding space. However, since $n$ is large (e.g. practical recommender systems typically need to deal with over millions of items and other features where $n$ is at least $10^7$ [Wang et al., 2018]), it is impossible on-device storage of $\mathbf{V}$. Moreover, it requires large-scale multiplications of the feature interaction $\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{v}_j$ for user-item score, even linear time-complexity is prohibitively slow for float operations. Therefore, existing FM framework is not suitable for fast recommendation, especially for mobile users.

In this paper, we propose a novel feature-based recommendation framework, named Discrete Factorization Machine (DFM), for fast recommendation. In a nutshell, DFM replaces the real-valued FM parameters $\mathbf{V}$ by binary-valued $\mathbf{B} \in \{\pm 1\}^{k \times n}$. In this way, we can easily store a bit matrix and perform XOR bit operations instead of float multiplications, making fast recommendation on-the-fly possible. However, it is well-known that the binarization of real-valued parameters will lead to significant performance drop due to the quantization loss [Zhang et al., 2016]. To this end, we propose to directly optimize the binary parameters in an end-to-end fashion, which is fundamentally different from the widely adopted two-stage approach that first learns real-valued parameters and then applies round-off binarization [Zhang et al., 2014]. Our algorithm jointly optimize the two challenging objectives: 1) to tailor the binary codes $\mathbf{B}$ to fit the original loss function of FM, and 2) imposing the binary constraint
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that is balanced and decorrelated, to encode compact information. In particular, we develop an alternating optimization algorithm to iteratively solve the mixed-interger programming problems. We evaluate DFM on two real-world datasets Yelp and Amazon, the results demonstrate that 1) DFM consistently outperforms state-of-the-art binarized recommendation models, and 2) DFM shows very competitive performance compared to its real-valued version (FM), demonstrating the minimized quantization loss.

Our contributions are summarized as follows:

- We propose to binarize FM, a dominant feature-based recommender model, to enable fast recommendation. To our knowledge, this is the first generic solution for fast recommendation that learns a binary embedding for each feature.
- We develop an efficient algorithm to address the challenging optimization problem of DFM that involves discrete, balanced, and de-correlated constraints.
- Through extensive experiments on two real-world datasets, we demonstrate that DFM outperforms state-of-the-art hash-based recommendation algorithms.

2 Related Work

We first review efficient recommendation algorithms using latent factor models, and then discuss recent advance in discrete hashing techniques.

2.1 Efficient Recommendation

As pioneer work, [Das et al., 2007] used Locality-Sensitive Hashing (LSH) [Gionis et al., 1999] to generate hash codes for Google new users based on their item-sharing history similarity. Following the work, [Karatzoglou et al., 2010] applied random projection for mapping learned user-item latent factors from traditional CF into the Hamming space to acquire hash codes for users and items. Similar to the idea of projection, [Zhou and Zha, 2012] generate binary code from rotated continuous user-item latent factors by running ITQ [Gong and Lazebnik, 2011]. In order to derive more compact binary codes, [Liu et al., 2014] imposed the de-correlation constraint of different binary codes on continuous user-item latent factors and then rounded them to produce binary codes. However, [Zhang et al., 2014] argued that hashing only preserves similarity between user and item rather than inner product based preference, so subsequent hashing may harm the accuracy of preference predictions, thus they imposed a Constant Feature Norm(CFN) constraint on user-item continuous latent factors, and then quantized similarities by respectively thresholding their magnitudes and phases.

The aforementioned work can be easily summarized as two independents stages: relaxed user-item latent factors learning with some specific constraints and binary quantization. However, such a two-stage relaxation is well-known to suffer from a large quantization loss according to [Zhang et al., 2016].

2.2 Binary Codes Learning

Direct binary code learning by discrete optimization — is becoming popular recently in order to decrease quantization loss aforementioned. Supervised hashing [Luo et al., 2018] improve on joint optimizations of quantization losses and intrinsic objective functions, whose significant performance gain over the above two-stage approaches.

In the recommendation area, [Zhang et al., 2016] is the first work that proposes to learn binary codes for users and items by directly optimizing the recommendation task. The proposed method Discrete Collaborative Filtering (DCF) demonstrates superior performance over aforementioned two-stage efficient recommendation methods. To learn informative and compact codes, DCF proposes to enforce balanced and de-correlated constraints on the discrete optimization. Despite its effectiveness, DCF models only user-item interactions and cannot be trivially extended to incorporate side features. As such, it suffers from the cold-start problem and can not be used as a generic recommendation solution, e.g., for context-aware [Rendle, 2011] and session-based recommendation [Bayer et al., 2017]. Same as the relationship between FM and MF, our DFM method can be seen as a generalization of DCF that can be used for generic feature-based recommendation. Specifically, feeding only ID features of users and items to DFM will recover the DCF method. In addition, our DFM can learn binary codes for each feature, allowing it to be used for resource-limited recommendation scenarios, such as context-aware recommendation in mobile devices. This binary representation learning approach for feature-based recommendation, to the best of knowledge, has never been developed before.

The work that is most relevant with this paper is [Lian et al., 2017], which develops a discrete optimization algorithm named Discrete Content-aware Matrix Factorization (DCMF), to learn binary codes for users and items at the presence of their respective content information. It is worth noting that DCMF can only learn binary codes for each user ID and item ID, rather than their content features. Since its prediction model is still MF (i.e., the dot product of user codes and item codes only), it is rather limited in leveraging side features for accurate recommendation. As such, DCMF only demonstrates minor improvements over DCF for feature-based collaborative recommendation (cf. Figure 2(a) for their original paper). Going beyond learning user codes and item codes, our DFM can learn codes for any side feature and model the pairwise interactions between feature codes. As such, our method has much stronger representation ability than DCMF, demonstrating significant improvements over DCMF in feature-based collaborative recommendation.

3 Preliminaries

We use bold uppercase and lowercase letters as matrices and vectors, respectively. In particular, we use $a_i$ as the $a$-th column vector of matrix $A$. We denote $\| \cdot \|_F$ as the Frobenius norm of a matrix and $tr(\cdot)$ as the matrix trace. We denote $\text{sgn}(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to \{\pm 1\}$ as the round-off function, i.e., $\text{sgn}(x) = +1$ if $x \geq 0$ and $\text{sgn}(x) = -1$ otherwise.

Factorization Machine (FM) is essentially a score prediction function for a (user, item) pair feature $x$:

$$\text{FM}(x) := w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i + \frac{n}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n (v_i, v_j) x_i x_j, \quad (1)$$
where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a high-dimensional feature representation of the rich side-information, concatenated by one-hot user ID and item ID, user and item content features, location features, etc. $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the model bias parameter: $w_j$ is the global bias and $w_i$ is the feature bias. $V \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ is the latent feature vector, and every $(v_i, v_j)$ models the interaction between the $i$-th and $j$-th feature dimensions. Therefore, $V$ is the key reason why FM is an effective feature-based recommendation model, as it captures the rich side-information interaction. However, on-the-fly storing $V$ and computing $(v_i, v_j)$ are prohibitively expensive when $n$ is large. For example, a practical recommender system for Yelp needs to provide recommendation for over 1,300,000 users with about 174,000 business, which have more than 1,200,000 attributes (here, $n = 1,300,000 + 174,000 + 1,200,000 = 2,674,000$).

To this end, we want to use binary codes $B \in \{-1\}^{k \times n}$ instead of $V$, to formulate our proposed framework: Discrete Factorization Machines (DFM):

$$\text{DFM}(x) := w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \langle b_i, b_j \rangle x_i x_j.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

However, directly obtain $B = \text{sgn}(V)$ will lead to large quantization loss and thus degrade the recommendation accuracy significantly [Zhang et al., 2016]. In the next section, we will introduce our proposed DFM learning model and discrete optimization that tackles the quantization loss.

## 4 Discrete Factorization Machines

We first present the learning objective of DFM and then elaborate the optimization process of DFM, which is the key technical difficulty of the paper. At last, we shed some lights on model initialization, which is known to have a large impact on a discrete model.

### 4.1 Model Formulation

Given a training pair $(x, y) \in \mathcal{V}$, where $y$ is the groundtruth score of feature vector $x$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ denotes the set of all training instances, the problem of DFM is formulated as:

$$\arg\min_{w_0, w, B} \sum_{(x,y)\in \mathcal{V}} \left( y - w_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \langle b_i, b_j \rangle x_i x_j \right)^2 + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i^2, \text{ s.t. } B \in \{-1\}^{k \times n}, \hspace{1cm} \text{Balance} \hspace{2cm} \text{De-correlation}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

Due to the discrete constraint in DFM, the regularization $\|B\|_F^2$ becomes an constant and hence is removed. Additionally, DFM imposes balanced and de-correlated constraints on the binary codes in order to maximize the information each bit carries and to make binary codes compact [Zhou and Zha, 2012]. However, optimizing the objective function in Eq.(3) is a highly challenging task, since it is generally NP-hard. To be specific, finding the global optimum solution needs to involve $O(2^{k+n})$ combinatorial search for the binary codes [Statudd, 2001].

Next, we introduce a new learning objective that allows DFM to be solved in a computationally tractable way. The basic idea is to soften the balanced and de-correlated constraints. To achieve this, let us first introduce a delegate continuous variable $D \in \mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D} = \{D \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n} | D1 = 0, DD^T = nI\}$. Then the balanced and de-correlated constraints can be softened by $\min_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \|B - D\|_F$. As such, we can get the softened learning objective for DFM as:

$$\arg\min_{w_0, w, B} \sum_{(x,y)\in \mathcal{V}} \left( y - w_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i \right)^2 \hspace{1cm} \text{arg\min_{w_0, w, B} \sum_{(x,y)\in \mathcal{V}}} (y - w_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \langle b_i, b_j \rangle x_i x_j + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i^2 - 2\beta tr(B^T D), \hspace{1cm} \text{arg\min_{w_0, w, B} \sum_{(x,y)\in \mathcal{V}}} (y - w_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i) \hspace{1cm}$$

$$\text{s.t. } D1 = 0, DD^T = nI, B \in \{-1\}^{k \times n},$$

where we use $2tr(B^T D)$ instead of $\|B - D\|_F$ for the ease of optimization (note that the two terms are identical since $B^TB$ and $D^TD$ are constant). $\beta$ is tunable hyperparameter controlling the strength of the softened de-correlation constraint. As the above Eq.(4) allows a certain discrepancy between $B$ and $D$, it makes the binarized optimization problem computationally tractable. Note that if there are feasible solution in Eq.(3), we can impose a very large $\beta$ to enforce $B$ to be close to $D$.

The above Eq.(4) presents the objective function to be optimized for DFM. It is worth noting that we do not discard the discrete constraint and still perform a direct optimization on discrete $B$. Furthermore, through joint optimization for the binary codes and the delegate real variables, we can obtain nearly balanced and uncorrelated binary codes. Next, we introduce an efficient solution to solve the mixed-integer optimization problem in Eq.(4).

### 4.2 Optimization

We employ alternating optimization strategy [Liu et al., 2017] to solve the problem. Specifically, we alternatively solve three subproblems for DFM model in Eq.(4), taking turns to update each of $B, D, w$, given others fixed. Next we elaborate on how to solve each of the subproblems.

#### B-subproblem

In this subproblem, we aim to optimize $B$ with fixed $D$ and $w$. To achieve this, we can update $B$ by updating each vector $b_r$ according to

$$\arg\min_{b_r} \sum_{V_r} \langle \bar{u}_{r}, x \rangle^2 \bar{U}^T b_r - 2 \sum_{V_r} \langle \bar{u}_{r}, x \rangle \bar{U}^T b_r$$

where $\bar{V}_r = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{V} | x_r \neq 0\}$ is the training set for $r$, vector $\bar{x}$ is equal to $x$ excluding element $x_r$. $U$ excludes the column $b_r$ of matrix $B$, and $\bar{u}_r$ is a column in $U$.

Due to the discrete constraints, the optimization is generally NP-hard. To this end, we use Discrete Coordinate Descent (DCD) [Zhang et al., 2016] to take turns to update each bit of binary codes $b_r$. Denote $b_{rt}$ as the $t$-th bit of $b_r$, and $b_{r, t}$ as
the rest codes excluding $b_{rt}$, DCD will update $b_{rt}$ by fixing $b_{et}$. Thus, we update $b_{rt}$ based on the following rule:

$$b_{rt} \leftarrow \text{sgn}(K(\hat{b}_{ret}, b_{rt})),$$

$$\hat{b}_{ret} = \sum_{v_t} (x_t \psi - x_t^2 \mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{b}_{tz})^2 \mathbf{z}_t + \beta d_{et}$$

(5)

where $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{U}^T$, $\mathbf{z}_t$ is the $t$-th column of the matrix $\mathbf{Z}$ while $\mathbf{Z}_t$ excludes the $t$-th column from $\mathbf{Z}$, and $K(x, y)$ is a function that $K(x, y) = x$ if $x \neq 0$ and $K(x, y) = y$ otherwise. Through this way, we can control that when $\hat{b}_{ret} = 0$, we do not update $b_{rt}$.

**D-subproblem.** When $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ are fixed in Eq.(4), the optimization subproblem for $\mathbf{D}$ is:

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{D}} \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{D}), \text{s.t. } \mathbf{D}1 = 0, \mathbf{D}^T \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}.$$  

(6)

It can be solved with the aid of a centering matrix $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T$. Specifically, by Singular Value Decomposition (SVD), we have $\mathbf{B}^T = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{D}$, where $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k'}$ and $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k'}$ are left and right singular vectors corresponding to the $r^{\mathrm{th}}$ ($r \leq r$) positive singular values in the diagonal matrix $\mathbf{D}$. We first apply eigendecomposition for the small $k \times k$ matrix $\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}] \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}]^T$, where $\mathbf{P}$ are the eigenvectors of the zero eigenvalues. Therefore, by the definition of SVD, we have $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{Q}^{-1}$. In order to satisfy the constraint $\mathbf{D}1 = 0$, we further obtain additional $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (k-k')}$. Therefore, by Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization based on $\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{1} = 0$. Then we can get the closed-form update rule for the D-subproblem in Eq.(6) as:

$$\mathbf{D} \leftarrow \sqrt{n} [\mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}] [\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}]^T$$

(7)

**w-subproblem.** When $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ are fixed in Eq.(4), the subproblem is for optimizing $\mathbf{w}$ is:

$$\arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{(x, y) \in \mathcal{V}} (\phi - w_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i)^2 + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2,$$

$$\phi = y - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (b_i, b_j) x_i x_j.$$  

(8)

Since $\mathbf{w}$ is a real-valued vector, it is the standard multivariate linear regression problem. Thus, we can use coordinate descent algorithm provided in the original FM [Rendle, 2011] to find the optimal value of $\mathbf{w}$ and the global bias $w_0$.

### 4.3 Initialization

Since DFM deals with mixed-integer non-convex optimization, the initialization of model parameters plays an important role for faster convergence and for finding better local optimum solution. Here we suggest an efficient initialization strategy inspired by DCF [Zhang et al., 2016]. It first solves a relaxed optimization problem in Eq.(4) by discarding the discrete constraints as:

$$\arg \min_{w_0, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{V}} \sum_{(x, y) \in \mathcal{V}} (y - w_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (V_i, V_j) x_i x_j)^2 + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 + \beta \|\mathbf{V}\|_F^2 - 2\beta \text{tr}(\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{D}), \text{s.t. } \mathbf{D}1 = 0, \mathbf{D}^T \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}.$$  

To solve the problem, we can initialize real-valued $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ randomly and find feasible initializations for $\mathbf{D}$ by solving $\mathbf{D}$-subproblem. The optimization can be done alternatively by solving $\mathbf{V}$ by traditional FM, solving $\mathbf{D}$ by $\mathbf{D}$-subproblem, and solving $\mathbf{w}$ by gradient descent. Assuming the solution is $(\mathbf{V}^*, \mathbf{D}^*, \mathbf{w}^*, w_{0}^*)$, we can then initialize the parameters in Eq.(4) as:

$$\mathbf{B} \leftarrow \text{sgn}(\mathbf{V}^*), \mathbf{D} \leftarrow \mathbf{D}^*, \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}^*, w_0 \leftarrow w_{0}^*.$$  

(9)

### 5 Experiments

As the key contribution of this work is the design of DFM for fast feature-based recommendation, we conduct experiments to answer the following research questions:

**RQ1.** How does DFM perform as compared to existing hash-based recommendation methods?

**RQ2.** How does the key hyper-parameter of DFM impact its recommendation performance?

**RQ3.** How efficient is DFM as compared to the real-valued version of FM?

#### 5.1 Experimental Settings

**Datasets.** We experiment on two publicly available datasets with explicit feedbacks from different real-world websites: Yelp and Amazon. Note that we assume each user has only one rating for an item and average the scores if an item has multiple ratings from the same user.

- **a) Yelp.** This dataset [Lian et al., 2017] originally contains 409,117 users, 85,539 items (points of interest on Yelp such as restaurants and hotels), and 2,685,066 ratings with integer scores ranging from 1 to 5. Besides, each item has a set of textual reviews posted by the users.

- **b) Amazon.** This book rating dataset [McAuley et al., 2015] originally includes 12,886,488 ratings of 929,264 items (books on Amazon) from 2,588,991 users. In this dataset, an item also has a set of integer rating scores in [1, 5] and a set of textual reviews.

Considering the extreme sparsity of the original Yelp and Amazon datasets, we remove users with less than 20 ratings and items rated by less than 20 users. After the filtering, there are 13,679 users, 12,922 items, and 640,143 ratings left in the Yelp dataset. For the Amazon dataset, we remain 35,151 users, 33,195 items, and 1,732,060 ratings. For fair comparison with DCFM, we leave out the side information from the user field and represent an item with the bag-of-words encoding of its textual contents after aggregating all review contents of the item. Note that we remove stopping words and truncate the vocabulary by selecting the top 8,000 words regarding their Term FrequencyInverse Document Frequency. By concatenating the bag-of-words encoding (side information of the item) and one-hot encoding of user and item ID, we obtain a feature vector of dimensionality 34,601 and 76,346 for a rating (use-item pair) for Yelp and Amazon, respectively.

**Baselines.** We implement our proposed DFM method using Matlab and compare it with its real-valued version and state-of-the-art binarized methods for Collaborative Filtering:

\[\text{Codes are available: https://github.com/hanliu95/DFM}\]
libFM. This is the original implementation\(^3\) of FM which has achieved great performance for feature-based recommendation with explicit feedbacks. Note that we adopt \(l_2\) regularization on the parameters to prevent overfitting and use the SGD learner to optimize it.

DCF. This is the first binarized CF method that can directly optimize the binary codes [Zhang et al., 2016].

DCMF. This is the state-of-the-art binarized method for CF with side information [Lian et al., 2017]. It extends DCF by encoding the side features as the constraints for user codes and item codes.

BCCF. This is a two-stage binarized CF method [Zhou and Zha, 2012] with a relaxation stage and a quantization stage. At these two stages, it successively solves MF with balanced code regularization and applies orthogonal rotation to obtain user codes and item codes.

Note that for DCF and DCMF, we use the original implementation as released by the authors. For BCCF, we re-implement it due to the unavailability.

**Evaluation Protocols.** We first randomly split the ratings from each user into training (50%) and testing (50%). As practical recommender systems typically recommend a list of items for a user, we rank the testing items of a user and evaluate the ranked list with Normalized Discounted Cumulative Gain (NDCG), which has been widely used for evaluating ranking tasks like recommendation [He et al., 2017]. To evaluate the efficiency of DFM and real-valued FM, we use Testing Time Cost (TTC) [Zhang et al., 2016], where a lower cost indicates better efficiency.

**Parameter Settings.** As we exactly follow the experimental settings of [Lian et al., 2017], we refer to their optimal settings for hyper-parameters of DCMF, DCF, and BCCF.

\(^3\)http://www.libfm.org/

For libFM, we test the \(l_2\) regularization on feature embeddings \(V\) of \(10^{-4}|i = -4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}. Under the same range, we test the de-correlation constraint (i.e., \(\beta\) in Eq. (3)) of DFM. Besides, we test the code length in the range of \([8, 16, 32, 64]\). It is worth mentioning that we conduct all the experiments on a computer equipped with an Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-7700k 4 cores CPU at 4.20GHZ, 32GB RAM, and 64-bit Windows 7 operating system.

### 5.2 Performance Comparison (RQ1)

In Figure 1, we show the recommendation performance (NDCG@1 to NDCG@10) of DFM and the baseline methods on the two datasets. The code length varies from 8 to 64. From the figure, we have the following observations:

- **DFM** demonstrates consistent improvements over state-of-the-art binarized recommendation methods across code lengths (the average improvement is 7.95% and 2.38% on Yelp and Amazon, respectively). The performance improvements are attributed to the benefits of learning binary codes for features and modeling their interactions.

- Besides, **DFM** shows very competitive performance compared to libFM, its real-valued version, with an average performance drop of only 3.24% and 2.40% on the two datasets. By increasing the code length, the performance gap continuously shrinks from 5.68% and 4.76% to 1.46% and 1.19% on Yelp and Amazon, respectively. One possible reason is that **libFM** suffers from overfitting as the increase of its representative capability (i.e., larger code length) [He and Chua, 2017], whereas binarizing the parameters can alleviate the overfitting problem. This finding again verifies the effectiveness of the proposed DFM.

- Between baseline methods, **DCF** consistently outperforms **BCCF**, while slightly underperforms **DCMF** with an average performance decrease of 1.58% and 0.76% on the two datasets, respectively. This is consistent with the findings in [Liu et al., 2014] that the direct discrete optimization is
stronger than two-stage approaches and that side information makes the user codes and item codes more representative, which can boost the performance of recommendation. However, the rather small performance gap between DCF and DCMF indicates that DCMF fails to make full use of the side information. The main reason is because that DCMF performs prediction only based on user codes and item codes (which is same as DCF). This inevitably limits the representation ability of DCMF.

5.3 Impact of Hyper-parameter (RQ2)

Figure 2 shows the recommendation performance of libFM and DFM on NDCG@10 regarding \( l_2 \) regularization of libFM and de-correlation constraint, respectively. We omit the results on different values of \( K \) and code length other than \( K = 10 \) and code length = 64 since they shown the same trend. First, we can see that the performance of libFM continuously drops as we decrease the \( l_2 \) regularization. One reason is that libFM could easily suffer from overfitting [Xiao et al., 2017]. Second, we observe that DFM performs slightly worse as decreasing the de-correlation constraint. By setting the de-correlation constraint and \( l_2 \) regularization to be zero, both of DFM and libFM exhibit significant performance decrease in NDCG@10. Specifically, the performance of DFM drops with a 1.91% and 2.05% margin on Yelp and Amazon, respectively, while libFM encounters a 10.44% and 6.56% one. The above findings again demonstrate the overfitting problem of libFM, which leads to libFM to be very sensitive to the \( l_2 \) regularization hyper-parameter, while the proposed DFM is relatively insensitive to its de-correlation constraint hyper-parameter.

5.4 Efficiency Study (RQ3)

As libFM is implemented based on C++, we re-implement the testing algorithm of DFM with C++ and compile it with the same C++ compiler (gcc-4.9.3) for a fair comparison. Table 1 shows the efficiency comparison between DFM and libFM regarding TTC on the two datasets. We have the following observations:

- DFM achieves significant speedups on both datasets regarding TTC, significantly accelerating the libFM by a large amplitude (on average, the acceleration ratio over libFM is 15.99 and 16.04, respectively). This demonstrates the great advantage of binarizing the real-valued parameters of FM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Length</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>64</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>libFM (TTC)</td>
<td>27.18</td>
<td>56.77</td>
<td>114.10</td>
<td>217.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFM (TTC)</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>12.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration Ratio</td>
<td>13.19</td>
<td>15.95</td>
<td>17.29</td>
<td>17.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Efficiency comparison between DFM (C++ implementation) and libFM w.r.t. TTC (minutes) where the code length ranges from 8 to 64 on the two datasets.

- The acceleration ratio of DFM based on libFM is stable around 16 times on both the datasets when the code length increases from 8 to 64.

Along with the comparable recommendation performance of DFM and libFM, the above findings indicate that DFM is an operable solution for many large-scale Web services, such as Facebook, Instagram, and Youtube, to substantially reduce the computation cost of their recommendation systems.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we presented DFM, the first binary representation learning method for generic feature-based recommendation. In contrast to existing hash-based recommendation methods that can only learn binary codes for users and items, our DFM is capable of learning a vector of binary codes for each feature. As a benefit of such a compact binarized model, the predictions of DFM can be done efficiently in the binary space. Through extensive experiments on two real-world datasets, we demonstrate that DFM outperforms state-of-the-art hash-based recommender systems by a large margin, and achieves a recommendation accuracy rather close to that of the original real-valued FM.

This work moves the first step towards developing efficient and compact recommender models, which are particularly useful for large-scale and resource-limited scenarios. In future, we will explore the potential of DFM for context-aware recommendation in mobile devices, a typical application scenario that requires fast and compact models. Moreover, we will develop pairwise learning method for DFM, which might be more suitable for personalized ranking task. With the fast developments of neural recommendation methods recently [He and Chua, 2017], we will develop binarized neural recommender models in the next step to further boost the performance of hash-based recommendation. Besides, we are interested in deploying DFM for online recommendation scenarios, and explore how to integrate bandit-based and reinforcement learning strategies into DFM. Lastly, we will explore the potential of DFM in other tasks such as popularity prediction of online content [Feng et al., 2018].
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