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Abstract
In the last years, deep learning algorithms have highly revolutionized several areas including speech, image and natural language processing. The specific field of Machine Translation (MT) has not remained invariant. Integration of deep learning in MT varies from re-modeling existing features into standard statistical systems to the development of a new architecture. Among the different neural networks, research works use feed-forward neural networks, recurrent neural networks and the encoder-decoder schema. These architectures are able to tackle challenges as having low-resources or morphology variations.

This extended abstract focuses on describing the foundational works on the neural MT approach; mentioning its strengths and weaknesses; and including an analysis of the corresponding challenges and future work. The full manuscript [Costa-jussà, 2018] describes, in addition, how these neural networks have been integrated to enhance different aspects and models from statistical MT, including language modeling, word alignment, translation, reordering, and rescoring; and on describing the new neural MT approach together with recent approaches on using subword, characters and training with multilingual languages, among others.

1 Introduction
The information society is continuously evolving towards multilinguality: e.g. different languages other than English are gaining more and more importance in the web; and strong societies, like the European, are and will continue to be multilingual. Different languages, domains, and language styles are combined as potential sources of information. In such a context, Machine Translation (MT), which is the task of automatically translating a text from a source language into a target language, is gaining more and more relevance. Both industry and academy are strongly investigating in the field which is progressing at an incredible speed. This progress may be directly attached to the introduction of deep learning. Basically, deep learning is the evolution of neural networks composed by multiple-layered models, and neural networks are machine learning systems capable of learning a task by training from examples and without requiring being explicitly programmed for that task. MT is just one of the applications where deep learning has succeeded recently. Although neural networks were proposed for MT in late nineties [Forcada and Neco, 1997; Castaño and Casacuberta, 1997], and have been integrated in different parts of statistical MT since 2006, it was not until 2013 and 2014 that first competitive neural MT systems were proposed [Kalchbrenner and Blunsom, 2013; Sutskever et al., 2014; Cho et al., 2014b], and in 2015, that neural MT reached the state-of-the-art [Bahdanau et al., 2015].

1.1 MT Approaches Before Deep Learning
MT has been approached mainly following a rule-based or corpus-based strategy. Rule-based MT systems date back early 70s with the initiatives of Systran [Philipson, 2014 accessed September 2017] or EUROTRA [Maegaard, 1989]. The idea behind rule-based approaches is that transformation from source to target is done by means of performing an analysis of the source text, transferring (with hand-crafted rules) this new source representation to a target representation and generating the final target text.

Corpus-based approaches learn from large amounts of text. One popular and successful approach is the statistical one and, in particular, the phrase-based MT system [Koehn et al., 2003]. This statistical approach benefits from being trained on large datasets. Normally, statistical MT uses parallel texts at the level of sentences, it uses co-occurrences to extract a bilingual dictionary, and finally, it uses monolingual text to compute a language model which estimates the most fluent translation text in the target language.

The main limitations of statistical MT are that it relies on parallel corpora. In rule-based MT, limitations are that it requires many linguistic resources, and a lot of human expert time. There is a considerable amount of research trying to hybridize these two approaches [Costa-jussà, 2015].

Another type of MT approaches, popular in the decade of the 80s, were interlingua-based, which focus on finding a universal representation of all languages. However, these approaches have fallen into disuse because it is very challenging.
and expensive to manually find a universal representation for all languages.

1.2 MT and Deep Learning

Recent appearance of new training and optimization algorithms for neural networks, i.e. deep learning techniques [Hinton et al., 2006; Bengio, 2009; Goodfellow et al., 2016], the availability of large quantities of data and the increase of computational power capacity have benefited the introduction of deep learning in MT.

Deep learning is about learning representations with multiple levels of abstraction and complexity [Bengio, 2009]. There has been a lot of excitement around deep learning because of the achieved breakthroughs, e.g. the automatic extraction of composition of images from lines to faces [Lee et al., 2009], the ImageNet classification [Krizhevsky et al., 2012] or reducing the error rate in speech recognition by around 10% [Graves et al., 2013]. There has been a lot of recent activity from the scientific community in using deep learning in MT reflected in, for example, an explosion in the number of works in relevant conferences from 2014 up to date.

Deep learning has started as a feature function in statistical MT [Schwenk et al., 2006] to become an entire new paradigm, which has achieved state-of-the-art results [Jean et al., 2015] within one-year of development.

2 Foundational Works

Early research on this neural MT can be found in works like [Forcada and ˜Neco, 1997; Casta˜no and Casacuberta, 1997], which were mainly limited by the computational power and short data. The former builds a state-space representation of each input string and unfolds it to obtain the corresponding output string. The latter uses an Elman simple RNN [Elman, 1990] to go from source to target.

First proposed neural MT models mainly use the previous encoder-decoder architecture [Sutskever et al., 2014; Cho et al., 2014b]. As explained in previous section 2, this architecture allows for encoding the source text into a fixed-length vector and decoding this fixed-length vector into the target text. Both encoding and decoding are trained as a single architecture on a parallel corpus. The main problem with this type of architecture is to compress the source sentence into a fixed-length vector. [Cho et al., 2014a] analyse this new approach and show that neural MT performs relatively well on short sentences without unknown words, but its performance degrades rapidly with the increment of sentence length and number of unknown words.

To address the long sentence issues, i.e. mainly caused by encoding the input sentence into a single fixed-length vector, [Bahdanau et al., 2015] propose a new mechanism where the decoder decides which parts of the source sentence to pay attention to. This attention mechanism relieves the encoder from having to compress all the source sentence into a fixed-length vector, allowing the neural translation model to deal better with long sentences. See schematic representation of the encoder-decoder with attention in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Neural MT architecture.

In the case of [Pouget-Abadie et al., 2014], authors propose a way to address the challenge of long sentences by automatically segmenting an input sentence into phrases that can be easily translated by the neural network translation model.

3 Neural MT Analysis: Strengths and Weaknesses

Deep learning has been introduced in standard statistical MT systems [Costa-Jussà, 2018] and as a new MT approach (see previous section 2). This section makes an analysis of the main strengths and weaknesses of the neural MT approach (see a summary in Figure 2). This analysis helps towards planning the future directions of neural MT.

Strengths The main inherent strength of neural MT is that all the model components are jointly trained allowing for an end-to-end optimization.

Another relevant strength is that, given its architecture based on creating an intermediate representation, the neural model could eventually evolve towards a machine-learnt interlingua approach [Johnson et al., 2016]. This interlingua representation would be key to outperform MT on low-resourced language pairs as well as to efficiently deal with MT in highly multilingual environments.

In addition, neural MT has shown to be able to learn from different basic unit granularities. Subword-based representations, e.g. [Sennrich et al., 2016; Costa-Jussà and Fonollosa, 2016; Lee et al., 2016], allow neural MT models with open-vocabulary by translating segmented words. Among the different alternatives to build subword units, the byte pair encoding, which is a data compresion technique, has shown to perform efficiently [Sennrich et al., 2016]. Characters allows
to take advantage of intra-word information and they have been implemented only in the source side [Costa-jussà and Fonollosa, 2016] and both in the source and target sides [Lee et al., 2016].

Finally, the new paradigm allows for multimodal machine translation [Elliott et al., 2015], allowing to take advantage of image information while translating and end-to-end speech translation architectures [Weiss et al., 2017], which reduces concatenating errors.

**Weaknesses** The main inherent weaknesses of neural MT are the difficulty to trace errors, long training time and high computational cost. Other weakness is the high computational cost of training and testing the models. Training can only be faced with GPUs (Graphical Processing Units) which are expensive.

Finally, an added weakness is related to interpretability of the model and the fact that the model works with vectors, matrices and tensors instead of words or phrases. Therefore, the ability to train these neural models from scratch requires background in machine learning and computer science and it is not easy that users/companies are able to comprehend/interpret it. It is difficult to adopt the paradigm. Small companies may prefer other more consolidated paradigms like the phrase and rule-based.

### 4 Summary, Conclusions and Future Work

Deep learning has been integrated in standard statistical MT systems at different levels (i.e. into the language model, word alignment, translation, reordering and rescoring) from different perspectives and achieving significant improvements in all cases [Costa-jussà, 2018]. The field of deep learning is advancing so quickly that it is worth noticing that neural-based techniques that work today may be replaced by new ones in the near future.

In addition, an entire new paradigm has been proposed: neural MT. Curiously, this approach has been proposed almost simultaneously as the popular phrase-based system in [Forcada and Neco, 1997; Castaño and Casacuberta, 1997]. The proposal was named differently connectionist MT; and given that the computational power required was prohibitive at that time and data available was not enough to train such complex systems, the idea was abandoned. Nowadays, thanks to GPUs, the computational power is not such a limitation and the information society is providing large quantities of data which allow to train the large number of parameters that these models have.

It is difficult to quantify how much does MT improve with the neural approach. It varies from language pair and task. For example, results on the WMT 2016 evaluation [Bojar et al., 2016] show that neural MT achieved best results (in terms of human evaluation) in some language directions such as German-English, English Romanian, English-German, Czech-English, English-Czech; but not in others like Romanian-English, Russian-English, English-Russian, English-Finnish. Neural MT may be more affected by large language differences, low resources and variations in training versus test domain [Alfonso, 2016; Costa-jussà et al., 2017; Costa-jussà, 2017; Koehn and Knowles, 2017]. Interpreting MT systems has never before been more difficult. In the evolution of MT, we have first lost rules (in the transition from the rule to the statistical-based approach) and recently, we have lost translation units (in the transition from the statistical to the neural-based approach). Nowadays, the new neural-based approaches to MT are opening new questions, e.g. is it a machine-learnt interlingua something attainable? which are the minimal units to be translated?

This manuscript recompiles and systematizes the foundational works in using deep learning in MT which is progressing incredibly fast. Deep learning is influencing many areas in natural language processing and the expectations on the use of these techniques are controversial.

It is adventurous to envisage how neural algorithms are going to impact MT in the future but it seems that they are here to stay as proven by recent news on big companies adopting the neural MT approach e.g. Google and Systran [Crego et al., 2016]. Furthermore, deep learning is already taking the field dramatically further as shown by the appearance of first end-to-end speech-to-text translation [Weiss et al., 2017] and multimodal MT [Elliott et al., 2015], interlingua-based representations [Firat et al., 2017] and unsupervised MT [Artetxe et al., 2017; Lample et al., 2017].

3http://www.nature.com/news/deep-learning-boosts-google-translate-tool-1.20696
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