Traffic Congestion Alleviation over Dynamic Road Networks: Continuous Optimal Route Combination for Trip Query Streams
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Abstract
Route planning and recommendation have attracted much attention for decades. In this paper, we study a continuous optimal route combination problem: Given a dynamic road network and a stream of trip queries, we continuously find an optimal route combination for each new query batch over the query stream such that the total travel time for all routes is minimized. Each route corresponds to a planning result for a particular trip query in the current query batch. Our problem targets a variety of applications, including traffic-flow management, real-time route planning and continuous congestion prevention. The exact algorithm bears exponential time complexity and is computationally prohibitive for application scenarios in dynamic traffic networks. To address this problem, a self-aware batch processing algorithm is developed in this paper. Extensive experiments offer insight into the accuracy and efficiency of our proposed algorithms.

1 Introduction
With the growing popularity of location-based services, many route planning services (e.g., Google Maps) and ridesharing services (e.g., DiDi, Uber, and Grab) are playing an indispensable role in our lives. Route planning and recommendation have attracted much attention in recent years [Sharifzadeh et al., 2008; Shang et al., 2013; Li et al., 2013; Zeng et al., 2015; Shang et al., 2019]. Some studies focus on the optimal route planning for a single trip query under current traffic condition (e.g., [Malviya et al., 2011]).

As route planning services and ridesharing services are becoming increasingly popular, massive-scale users in a city may issue trip queries within a short period of time, especially during rush hours. Thus, it is of great importance to enable route planning for a stream of trip queries based on real-time traffic conditions. Existing related proposals aim to find an optimal route (i.e., a route with the minimum travel time) for each single trip [Malviya et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2012; Shang et al., 2012; Shang et al., 2015]. However, recommending the optimal route based on individual trip query may induce potential traffic congestion. Recently, [Li et al., 2020] recognizes this potential traffic congestion and studies the problem of finding a route for each trip such that the global travel time cost for all trips is minimized. However, it only considers a static collection of trip queries as input, making it ineffective to handle a stream of trip queries in practice as the routes recommended ahead of current time are ignored. As a result, its solutions cannot be used to answer continuous trip queries in practice.

In this light, we study a Continuous Optimal Route Combination (CORC) problem: Given a dynamic road network $G$ and a stream of trip queries $Q = \{q_1, q_2, \ldots \}$, we aim to periodically generate an optimal route combination $\Pi = \{\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots \}$ for new trip queries such that the total travel time of all routes in $\Pi$ is minimized. In our settings, the real-time travel time on each edge $e$ is proportional to the number of current vehicles on $e$. The problem is challenging due to its high computational cost. The time complexity of the exact algorithm is exponential to the number of queries, thus it is impossible to find the optimal route combination in interactive time.

To enable high efficiency, a self-aware batch process algorithm (SBP) and its corresponding pruning techniques are developed. In addition to the non-query traffic flow and the query-related flow caused by our planned routes ahead of current time, we also consider the potential traffic flow caused by those planning initial routes. The main contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows.

• We propose a novel CORC problem that targets a variety of applications, including traffic-flow management, real-time route planning and congestion prevention.

• We develop a self-aware batch process algorithm (SBP) for answering CORC problem.

• The accuracy and efficiency of our solutions are evaluated by extensive experiments over two real-life datasets. The experimental results show that our proposal outperforms the baseline method and it is capable of handling streams of trip queries in real-time fashion.

Related work. Optimal route planning is extensively investigated under different settings [Shang et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020a; Chen et al., 2020b]. Some studies aim to generate a route based on a particular optimization goal (e.g., the shortest dis-
tance, minimum congestion probability) under user-specified constraints (e.g., the departure time) [Chen et al., 2010; Cao et al., 2012; Shang et al., 2014; Liebig et al., 2017]. However, such queries proposed by these studies are based on a single trip. Existing studies of traffic-based global optimization problems [Dafermos and C., 1972; Lim and Rus, 2012; Babak et al., 2018] can be regarded as the flow assignment problem rather than real-time route planning problem, where the routes are pre-defined and they search for an optimal flow assignment to each route. Though a recent work [Li et al., 2020] proposes to minimize the global travel time, its solutions cannot be used to answer CORC problem. The reason we have explained in introduction.

2 Preliminaries and Problem Statement

Dynamic road networks. We formulate a dynamic road network by a connected and directed graph $G(V,E)$, which consists of a set of vertexes $V$ representing road intersections or ends, and edges $E \subseteq V \times V$ representing road segments. Each edge $e(v_i, v_j) \in E$ connects two end-points $v_i$ and $v_j$ where $v_i, v_j \in V$. Associated with each road segment $e$ is a natural-valued road capacity $C_e$ and a minimum travel time $T_m(e)$, denoting the travel time on $e$ when there are no vehicles. The dynamic weight of an edge, denoted by $T(e,t)$, is the travel time for passing through $e$, which is at least $T_m(e)$ and is proportional to the number of current vehicles on $e$.

Travel time function. The travel time of a vehicle on road segment $e$ at time $t$ is correlated to current number of vehicles, which is defined as traffic flow [Greenshields, 1934]. Following existing studies [Lim and Rus, 2012; Babak et al., 2018; Li et al., 2020], we use a popular travel time function (cf. Equation 1) based on current traffic flow of $e$ (i.e., $f(e,t)$) to compute the estimated travel time of segment $e$ at time $t$, which is denoted by $T(e,t)$. Here, $C_e$ is the capacity of segment $e$, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are road-specific parameters, which are determined by segment attributes (e.g., speed limit, road width). Note that our proposal is independent of these parameters. Our modeling of road networks aligns with existing studies [Wilkie et al., 2011; Shang et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2020b].

$$T(e,t) = T_m(e) \times (1 + \alpha \times \left(\frac{f(e,t)}{C_e}\right)^\beta)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Route and its estimated travel time. Route $\pi$ is defined as a finite sequence of vertexes $\{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{|\pi|-1}\}$. Assume that route $\pi$ starts at time $t_0$ and $t_i$ is the estimated arrival time on vertex $v_i$ (i.e., $t_i = t_{i-1} + T(e(v_{i-1}, v_i), t_{i-1})$). Denoted by $ET(\pi, t_0)$ the estimated travel time of $\pi$ departing at time $t_0$ is computed by the sum of estimated travel times on each road segment traveled by $\pi$ (cf. Equation 2). In remaining parts of this paper, we use $\pi.v_0$ and $\pi.v_{|\pi|-1}$ to denote the first and the last vertices of $\pi$, respectively.

$$ET(\pi, t_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{\mid\pi\mid-2} T(e(v_i, v_{i+1}), t_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Trip query stream. A single trip query is denoted by $q = (s, d, t)$, where $s$ is the source location, $d$ is the destination location, and $t$ is the departure time. $Q = \{q_1, q_2, \ldots\}$ denotes a stream of trip queries arriving in a streaming manner (i.e., $\forall q_i, q_j \in Q, i > j : q_i.t \geq q_j.t$). Note that $Q$ is dynamically updated by new trip queries over the data stream.

Continuous Optimal Route Combination (CORC) Problem. Given a dynamic road network $G$, a stream of trip queries $Q = \{q_1, q_2, \ldots\}$, and a time interval $T$, the CORC problem aims to process the newly issued query batch $Q_n = \{q_k, q_{k+1}, \ldots, q_{|Q|}\}$ ($Q_n \subset Q \land q_{|Q|}.t - q_n.t = T$) for an optimal route combination $\Pi = \{\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots\}$ such that:

1. $|Q| = |\Pi|$;
2. $(\forall q_i \in Q) (\pi_i.q_0 = q_i.s \land \pi_i.q_{|\pi|-1} = q_i.d)$;
3. The sum of estimated travel time for routes in $\Pi$, denoted by $TT(\Pi)$ (cf. Equation 3), is minimized.

$$TT(\Pi) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mid\Pi\mid} ET(\pi_i, q_i, t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

3 Exact Traversal Algorithm

An exact method for answering the CORC problem works as follows: for each incoming trip query $q \in Q$, we first run Depth-First Search (DFS) on the road network to find all possible routes from $q.s$ to $q.d$. Next, we evaluate all possible route combinations and select the combination that has the minimum $TT(\Pi)$ as result. To answer the CORC problem in a real-time fashion, exact traversal algorithm (ETA) periodically conducts aforementioned operations to return an optimal combination as real-time result. If the number of possible routes for each query is $k$ and there are totally $|Q|$ trip queries, the ETA will perform exhaustive evaluation of $k^{|Q|}$ possible route combinations.

4 Self-Aware Batch Processing Algorithm

To answer the CORC problem efficiently, we propose a Self-aware Batch Processing (SBP) algorithm, which consists of two primary steps: (1) Initial Route Search and (2) Batch Refining Processing. In particular, given a batch of new trip queries $Q_n$, we first run Initial Route Search that generates an high-quality initial route combination $\Pi_n$ consisting of planned routes for each incoming trip query. Next, we run Batch Refining Processing to refine the routes in $\Pi_n$.

In remaining parts of this section, we present Initial Route Search and Self-Aware Batch Refining algorithms. Finally, we present an overall solution based on the self-aware batch processing algorithm.

4.1 Initial Route Search

The objective of Initial Route Search is to generate a high-quality individual route for each trip query $q$ in a new query batch $Q_n$. The time-aware traffic flow is calculated as a combination of “query-related flow” and “other traffic flow”. Specifically, the query-related flow is the extra traffic flow incurred by routes planned for queries in $Q$, which is self-aware. The other traffic flow is resulted from traffic flow outside $Q$. We take other traffic flow directly as input.
Algorithm 1 InitSearch \((G, q, L)\)

**Input:** Dynamic road network \(G = (V, E)\);
A trip query \(q = (v_s, v_d, t)\);
All-pair lower-bound estimation for the travel time \(H(v_i, v_j)\);
A set of edge labels \(L\) of planned routes;

**Output:** An initial route \(\pi\) for query \(q\);

1: Init: \(\forall v \in V: v.t_s = \infty, v.t_d = H(v, v_d), v.et = t; v.s.pred = \text{null}, v.s.t_s = 0; \pi = \emptyset\);
2: \(PQ \leftarrow \{v_s\}\);
3: while \(PQ \neq \emptyset\) do
4:   \(v \leftarrow PQ.pop()\);
5:   if \(v = v_d\) then
6:     while \(v.d.pred \neq \text{null}\) do
7:       \(\pi \leftarrow \{v.d, \pi\}\);
8:       \(v.d \leftarrow v.d.pred;\)
9:     end while
10:   return \(\pi\);
11: end if
12: for each edge \(e(v, v') \in G\) do
13:   calculate the current traffic flow \(f(e, v, v')\);
14:   if \(v.t_s + T(e, v, v') \leq v'.t_s\) then
15:     \(v'.t_s \leftarrow v.t_s + T(e, v, v');\)
16:     \(v'.et \leftarrow t + v'.t_s;\)
17:     \(v'.pred \leftarrow v;\)
18:     if \(v' \notin PQ\) then
19:       \(PQ.push(v')\);
20:   end if
21: end if
22: end for
23: end while

Edge labels. To enable fast computation of the traffic flow on each edge caused by planned routes, we maintain a label \(L_e = \{l_1, l_2, \ldots\}\) for each edge \(e\) to record the time information of each route that travels on \(e\). A label \(l_i = \{t_a, t_b\}\) consists of the timestamp entering \(e\) (i.e., \(t_a\)) and the timestamp leaving \(e\) (i.e., \(t_b\)) of a route. Initially, the \(L_e\) of each edge is empty and they are dynamically updated each time we complete the processing of a new batch of trip queries.

Query-Related flow calculation. The query-related flow on edge \(e\) at timestamp \(t\) is the number of edge label \(l_i \in L_e\) that satisfies \([l_i.t_a, l_i.t_b] \ni t\). A priority queue is applied to accelerate computation in our experiment study.

Lower-bound Travel Time Estimation. We use a heuristic value \(H(v_i, v_j)\) to denote the lower-bound estimation of the travel time from vertex \(v_i\) to \(v_j\), it is pre-computed by Dijkstra [Dijkstra, 1959] given the minimum non-query flow. Note that the minimum non-query flow on each edge \(e\) is regarded as a static value. We take it directly as input.

Algorithm description. Algorithm 1 presents the pseudo code of Initial Route Search. The input includes a dynamic road network \(G = (V, E)\), a trip query \(q = (v_s, v_d, t)\), all-pairs lower-bound travel time \(H(v_i, v_j)\), and a collection of edge label sets \(L = \{L_{e_1}, L_{e_2}, \ldots\}\) consisting of edge label set \(L_e\) for each edge \(e\). The output is an initial route planned for \(q\). Associated with each \(v \in V\) is the exact travel time from \(q.v_s\) to \(v\), which is denoted by \(t_v\); a heuristic value \(t_d\) representing the lower-bound travel time from \(v\) to the destination \(q.v_d\); the earliest arriving time of \(v\) from \(v_s\) to \(v\), which is denoted by \(t_e\); and a predecessor \(\text{pred}\). First, we initialize each \(v \in V\) and set the route \(\pi\) an empty set (line 1). Then we add the starting vertex \(v_s\) into the priority queue \(PQ\) that sorts vertexes in ascending order based on \(v.t_d + v.t_e\) (line 2). During the search process, in each iteration we select a vertex \(v\) from \(PQ\) and explore its adjacent vertices (lines 3–23). To be specific, each time we select the \(v\) with minimum \(v.t_d + v.t_e\) on the top of \(PQ\), which is based on the hypothesis that this \(v\) may be an intermediate destination with minimum travel time cost (line 4). If \(v\) is the destination, we utilize the predecessor record \(\text{pred}\) of corresponding vertexes to generate a route \(\pi\) as the result (lines 5–11). If \(v\) is not the destination, for each edge \(e\) starting from \(v\), we calculate the current traffic flow on \(e\) (line 13). For the ending vertex \(v'\) of \(e(v, v')\), we check whether it can be reached with a smaller \(t_s\) through vertex \(v\) (line 14). If it satisfies we will update \(t_s, t_e\) and \(\text{pred}\) of \(v'\), respectively (lines 15–17). In the next, we add \(v'\) into \(PQ\) for next iteration if \(v'\) is not contained in \(PQ\). The algorithm terminates when \(PQ\) is empty or the destination is found. In the worst case, we need to evaluate all vertexes. The maximum number of adjacent vertices of a vertex is up to \(|V|\), thus the maximum times of edge evaluation is \(|V|^2\). Computing the query-related flow on edge \(e\) requires time complexity \(O(\mid L_e\mid)\). Assume the maximum value of \(O(\mid L_e\mid)\) is \(n\), the total time complexity of the Initial Route Search is \(O(m|V|^2)\).

4.2 Batch Refining Processing

To improve the result quality, a Batch Refining Processing method is developed to refine these initial routes \(\Pi_0\) of \(Q_n\) (cf. Algorithm 1). We reuse the initial route combination \(\Pi_0\) and refine each \(\pi_i \in \Pi_0\) with a self-aware swapping strategy in a batch mode. The high-level idea works as follows: During each refinement we select a route \(\pi_i \in \Pi_0\), then we take other planning initial routes (i.e., \(\Pi_n \setminus \pi_i\)) as known traffic flow input to re-predict the traffic condition and reassign a route \(\pi'_i\) to \(q_i\). Note that the swap operation is performed for a whole route. We swap \(\pi \in \Pi_0\) with \(\pi'\), denoted by \(\Pi_n,\text{swap}(\pi, \pi')\). If this swapping operation can reduce the total travel time of all trips at least by a factor of \(1 + \epsilon\) (e.g., \(TT((\Pi_0, \text{swap}(\pi, \pi'))) > (1 + \epsilon) TT((\Pi_0, \pi))\)), we define this swapping operation as a valid operation and apply it. Here, the small constant \(\epsilon\) is used to filter out some "useless" operations (i.e., the operations that only reduce little travel time) and guarantee the number of swapping operations is finite.

Swapping operation. During our refining process, in addition to the non-query traffic flow and the query-related flow caused by our planned routes ahead of current time, we also consider the potential traffic flow caused by planning initial routes. A new edge label \(L' = (L, L'((\Pi_n \setminus \pi_i))\) is defined as a combination of primitive edge labels \(L\) and the estimated time information of these planning initial routes in \(\Pi_n\), except route \(\pi_i\) (cf. Algorithm 1). We reassign a new route \(\pi'_i\) to \(q_i\) by conducting Algorithm 1 that uses \(L'\) as traffic condition input, and we will swap \(\pi_i \in \Pi_0\) by \(\pi'_i\) if it is valid.
developed to improve efficiency. In Equation 4, we define an extra increased travel time of other routes caused by route π itself.

$$UB(\pi) = \frac{TT((\Pi, \Pi_n) \setminus \pi)}{TT((\Pi, \Pi_n) \setminus \pi)}$$

Algorithm description. Algorithm 2 presents the pseudo code of our Batch Refining Processing method. Initially, we use a flag to mark if any valid swapping operation applied in last "while" loop and we initially set it true (line 1). During the refining process, for each initial route π ∈ Πn we check whether it could be swapped by a new route π′ (lines 4–13). Specifically, we first change the flag to assume there is no valid swapping operation in current "while" loop (line 3). For each π ∈ Πn, we compute the $UB(\pi)$ to determine whether the operation is possibly valid (line 5). If it is possibly valid, we generate a new edge labels $L'$ by combining the primitive edge label $L$ and the traffic flow caused by the currently planning routes in $\Pi_n$ except the $\pi_i$ itself (line 6). Then given the $L'$ as input we generate a new route $\pi' \setminus \pi_i$ by conducting Algorithm 1 (line 7). In the next, we apply the swapping operation if $\pi' \setminus \pi_i$ and the exact total travel time decreased by at least a factor of 1+ε through this swapping, then we set flag true again to record the fact that there are valid swapping operations applied (lines 8–11). The algorithm terminates when no valid swapping operations applied during current loop for all $\pi \in \Pi_n$, indicating that no valid swapping operation could produce a better result.

4.3 Overall Solution for CORC Problem

By integrating all above methods, here we present the SBP algorithm for answering the CORC problem.

Refining interval. In our settings, the Batch Refining Processing (cf. Algorithm 2) is periodically conducted at a fixed frequency (e.g., 2s). We define $T$ as the time interval between each two batch refining operations. By utilizing the refining interval $T$, we can more accurately predict future traffic condition for more planning initial routes are considered as known traffic flow input, thus we can reduce the $TT(\Pi)$ more by a valid swapping operation, which enables less swapping operations occurred during the whole refining process.

Algorithm descriptions. The overall solution of the SBP Algorithm for CORC problem is presented in Algorithm 3. Initially, there are no trip queries in our query system. We set the edge labels $L$ and the global route combination $\Pi$ a empty set, which records the planned routes of all trip queries. We use a route combination $\Pi_n$ to store the planning initial routes of a new query batch $Q_n$, initially it is empty (line 1). First we perform Algorithm 1 to generate an initial route $\pi$ for each new trip query $q \in Q$ (lines 3–6). We perform aforementioned operations until the time-up for next Batch Refining Processing (line 7). During the refinement, we conduct Algorithm 2 to generate a refined $\Pi_n$ (line 8). In the next, we add these refined routes into the global route combination $\Pi$ and update the edge labels $L$ accordingly (lines 9–10). At the end of each refinement, since all initial routes have been refined, we reset the $\Pi_n$ empty (line 11). The empty $\Pi_n$ is used to store new initial routes that planned for next batch of trip queries subsequently. We output the global route combination $\Pi$ as real-time result each time we complete the refining process.

---

**Algorithm 2 BatchRefining($G, \Pi, \Pi_n, L, \epsilon$)**

**Input:** Dynamic road network $G = (V, E)$,
  The global route combination $\Pi$,
  An initial route combination $\Pi_n$,
  A set of edge labels $L$ of planned routes,
  Parameter $\epsilon$

**Output:** A refined route combination $\Pi_n$

1: Init: $flag \leftarrow false$
2: while $flag$ do
3:   $flag \leftarrow true$
4:   for each route $\pi_i \in \Pi_n$ do
5:     if $UB(\pi_i) > (1 + \epsilon)$ then
6:       $L' \leftarrow \{L, L(\Pi_n \setminus \pi_i)\}$
7:       $\pi' \leftarrow InitSearch(G, q_i, L')$
8:     if the operation $\Pi_n, swap(\pi, \pi')$ is valid then
9:       $\Pi_n \leftarrow \Pi_n.swap(\pi, \pi')$
10:    end if
11:  end if
12: end while
13: return $\Pi_n$

---

**Algorithm 3 SBP($G, Q, T, \epsilon$)**

**Input:** Dynamic road network $G = (V, E)$,
  a stream of trip queries $Q$,
  Refining Interval $T$,
  Parameter $\epsilon$

**Output:** A real-time route combination $\Pi$

1: Init: $L \leftarrow \emptyset; \Pi \leftarrow \emptyset; \Pi_n \leftarrow \emptyset$
2: while true do
3:   for each incoming trip query $q \in Q$ do
4:     $\pi \leftarrow InitSearch(G, q, L)$
5:     $\Pi_n \leftarrow \{\Pi_n, \pi\}$
6:   end for
7:   if currentTime % $T = 0$ then
8:     $\Pi_n \leftarrow BatchRefining(G, \Pi, \Pi_n, L, \epsilon)$
9:     $\Pi \leftarrow \{\Pi, \Pi_n\}$
10:    $L \leftarrow \{L, L(\Pi_n)\}$
11:   $\Pi_n \leftarrow \emptyset$
12: end if
13: $\Pi$ as real-time result
14: end while
Datasets. Two real road networks are used in our experimental study: San Joaquin County Road Network (TG)\(^2\) and the New York Road Network (NY)\(^3\), which contain 18,263 vertices and 23,874 edges, and 95,581 vertices and 260,855 edges, respectively. A capacity \(C_e\) ranging from 20 to 100 was assigned to each road segment based on the road length for both TG and NY. The minimum travel time \(T_{m}(e)\) is a randomly generated value ranging from 5 to 10 (minutes) for each edge. To simulate the non-query vehicles, we assume the averaged traffic flow \(\bar{x}\) of non-query vehicles on edge \(e\) is \(0.4 \times C_e\), and the number of real-time non-query vehicles on edges cyclically change by a ratio ranging from 0.8\(\bar{x}\) to 1.2\(\bar{x}\) over time. Given traffic flows \(0.8\bar{x}\) on all road segments, we pre-compute the all-pair minimum travel time using Dijkstra algorithm [Dijkstra, 1959] and store the results. We generate a stream of trip queries by randomly sampling source-destination pairs in those areas with high density of road intersections (e.g., the mean out-degree of the area is no less than 3), which is consistent with the real-life application scenarios. Given a departure time \(q_{i} t\) of the first trip, the departure time of subsequent trips increase by a short period of \(\Delta t\), which is consistent with the real-life application scenarios.

### Computing traffic flow.
The real-time traffic flow \(f(e, t)\) on edge \(e\) at timestamp \(t\), is computed by Equation 5. Here, \(f'(e, t)\) denotes the number of non-query vehicles, while \(f''(e, t)\) is the number of vehicles using our query system. To simulate the non-query vehicles, we assume \(f'(e, t)\) cyclically change (e.g., \(f'(e, t+\Delta t)=f'(e, t)\), \(\Delta t=2h\)) over time. How to calculate \(f''(e, t)\) is detailed in Algorithm 1.

\[
f(e, t) = f'(e, t) + f''(e, t) \tag{5}
\]

### Evaluation settings.
To evaluate the result quality of SBP algorithm, we implement an individual-based search algorithm (Ind algorithm). Specifically, given a stream of trip queries \(Q\), for each trip \(q \in Q\) we derive a route \(\pi\) with the minimum travel time \(ET(\pi, q, t)\) regrading current traffic condition at timestamp \(q, t\), which aligns with existing works [Malviya et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2012]. Note that the exact algorithm is extremely time-consuming, which requires at least 1 day with default setting. Thus, we do not report its performance. To evaluate the performance of our Initial Route Search method that takes self-awareness and network dynamics into account, we implement SBP*SA algorithm and SBP*DA algorithm, respectively. Here, the SBP*SA is the SBP algorithm without self-aware idea. Specifically, it applies an Initial Route Search method that is not aware of extra traffic flow caused by planned routes. The SBP*DA is the SBP algorithm with the assumption that the traffic flow is static. In particular, it generates initial routes regrading a snapshot of traffic condition at the departure time of each trip. The performance metrics for efficiency evaluation and efficacy evaluation are CPU time and the total travel time \(TT(II)\) of the route combination II generated by the proposed algorithm, respectively. All algorithms were conducted in Java and tested on a Windows 10 platform with Intel(R) i5-9300H CPU (2.40 GHz) and 16GB memory. The default parameter settings are listed in Table 1.

### 5.2 Experimental Results
#### Effect of the number of trip queries.
First, we investigate the effect of the query count \(|Q|\) on the performance of the proposed algorithms with the default setting. Intuitively, a larger \(|Q|\) leads to the increment of the total travel time. Additionally, a larger \(|Q|\) causes more computation effort for evaluating more edges and the CPU time is thus increased. Figure 1 shows the performance of the proposed algorithms in TG and NY, respectively. As expected, the CPU time increases when \(|Q|\) becomes larger for all algorithms. Among these algorithms, the Ind algorithm requires less CPU time because it is a one-time planning mechanism without refining process. Compared with Ind algorithm, SBP algorithm can reduce at least 40% total travel time. SBP*SA and SBP*DA perform slightly worse than SBP since more swapping operations performed in their refining process. Particularly, the SBP*SA does not consider the traffic flow caused by these planned routes, thus making traffic prediction insufficiently. SBP*DA plans initial routes regarding the static traffic flow at the departure time. Hence, the initial routes planned by SBP*SA and SBP*DA are of low-quality, which leads to more refining effort to improve result quality. We also observe that the results of the three algorithms are reasonably close, as shown in Figure 1(b) and Figure 1(d), and SBP consistently exhibits less total travel time. These results demonstrate the superiority of our Initial Route Search.

#### Effect of the query arrival rate.
A larger query arrival rate indicates that more vehicles are coming to roads per unit time. In such case, the increment of traffic flow on edges exhibits more significant. Consequently, there will be more extra traffic flow caused by planned routes on each road. In Figure 2, an increasing trend regarding the CPU time and \(TT(II)\) is observed in both NY and TG. Here, the CPU time increases for more swapping operations are conducted in refining process to further alleviate traffic congestion. And the \(TT(II)\) increases for traffic flow of edges increase accordingly, more
We proposed and investigated a novel route planning problem that finds optimal route combination for a stream of trip queries (CORC problem). The SBP algorithm was proposed for answering CORC problem efficiently. Pruning techniques were developed to enhance efficiency. Extensive experiments confirmed that our proposal was capable of achieving high efficiency and high effectiveness, and the pre-checking strategy was helpful to avoid unnecessary computation.
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